

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/482,990	01/15/2000	Peter Heitkamper	Mo-5278/LeA 33,335	7774	
75	590 07/09/2002				
Patent Department			` EXAMINER		
Bayer Corporat	i		GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F	
Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	14	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/482,990	HEITKAMPER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rachel Gorr	1711			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the coversh t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	<u>une 2002</u> .				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🗌 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/482,990

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Page 2

2. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Windemuth.

Windemuth discloses, in example 3, a prepolymer comprising 79 wt. % polyester diol having a molecular weight of 1800 and about 19 wt. % tetramethyl p phenylenediisocyanate (durene diisocyanate). He chain extends with water, which would make the polyurethane cellular. The coating of Windemuth has a shape, and the density of the polyurethane would fall within the specified range of the claims. The polyurethane would be the same regardless of what catalyst is used.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 6-6-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue that Windemuth doesn't show shaped articles. The examiner contends that a coating is a shaped article.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott in view of Henn.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,990

Art Unit: 1711

6. Scott discloses a polyurethane elastomer comprising a polyester polyol having a molecular weight of 500-5000 (col. 1, line 71), a hydroxy containing chain

Page 3

extender (col. 2, line 49) and durene diisocyanate (col. 3, line 27). At the bottom of col.

1, he discloses using one mole equivalent of polyester, 1-3 moles of chain extender,

and 2-4 moles diisocyanate. In col. 3, line 55, he discloses adding fillers to his

elastomer. The densities would be the same because the elastomers are the same. He

differs from the claims by listing durene diisocyanate in a list with four other

diisocyanates, and he differs from the dependent claims by not showing the prepolymer

process.

7. Henn teaches that polyurethane elastomers made from prepolymers in which the chain extender is included in the prepolymer (see abstract). At the top of col. 5, he discloses that the resulting elastomers have better properties than those made by the one shot method (top col. 19).

8. It would have been obvious to use durene diisocyanate in the invention of Scott because it has been held obvious to choose one from a limited number of choices. It would have been obvious to use the prepolymer method of Henn because Henn teaches obtaining elastomers having properties superior to those made from the one shot process of Scott.

- 9. Claim 3 is objected to for depending on rejected claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Application/Control Number: 09/482,990

Art Unit: 1711

3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs.,Fri., from 7:00-

5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G. July 1, 2002

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4